

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/821,695	03/29/2001	Mikio Saito	9319S-000195 9201		
27572 7590 01/30/2008 HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.			EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 828 BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			CARTER, AARON W		
			· ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2624		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/30/2008	PAPER .	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		
	Applicant(s)	
09/821.695		
	SAITO ET AL.	
**************************************		a a trade contractiva de la contractiva
		450545555555555555555454545
Ei		
Evaminer	8 4 11 14	
Framiner	A = 4 11 = 14	
Examiner	Art Hoit	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Examiner Aaron W. Carter	Art Unit	
		
		-

	Aaron W. Carter	2624	
-The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 09 January 2008 FAILS TO PLACE 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	FHIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR o or on the same day as filing a Notice of e following replies: (1) an amendment, aff) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in a opliance with 37 CFR 1 114. The reply mu	LALLOWANCE. Appeal. To avoid abaidavit, or other evider	ndonment of ice, which
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing by The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of no event, however, will the statutory period for reply examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See M Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1 136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period under 37 CFR 1 17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1. NOTICE OF APPEAL.	f this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE PEP 706.07(f), and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply original later than three months after the mailing data 704(b).	p date of the final rejection. FIRST REPLY WAS F 36(a) and the appropriate of the fee. The appropriately set in the final Office of the final rejection, e	on. ILED WITHIN e extension fee ate extension fee action; or (2) as ven if timely filed.
2 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41 37(a)), or an a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS	y extension thereof (37 CFR 41:37(e)); to e filed within the time period set forth in 3	avoid dismissal of the 7 CFR 41.37(a).	appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (a) They raise new issues that would require furth (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE (c) They are not deemed to place the application appeal, and/or	ter consideration and/or search (see NO) below);	E below),	
(d) They present additional claims without cancel NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> (See 37 CF	R 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CF 5 Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would	ion(s):		•
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s) how the new or amended claims would be rejected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to Claim(s) rejected. 1-5,7-10 and 12-14. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	s): a) ⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ will		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final actic because applicant failed to provide a showing of gowas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e)	on, but before or on the date of filing a No od and sufficient reasons why the affidavi	itice of Appeal will <u>not</u> t or other evidence is	be entered necessary and
9 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of entered because the affidavit or other evidence faile showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	d to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea essary and was not earlier presented. Se	l and/or appellant fail: e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a
 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An expla REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been consider See Continuation Sheet. 			
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer Other	it(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	7	
S. Patent and Trademark Office			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The deletion of the limitation "subjecting the image to binarization to carry out image measurements" makes the claims broader than previously considered claims filed on 10/13/07. Since it was not necessary to consider whether prior an references can be combined to make a rejection, without this limitation it is deemed that new issues have been presented requiring further search and consideration.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants argue that the limitations "setting an examination range on a surface of a workpeice" and "performing a pattern matching to determine if the imaged passing light matches the examination range" are supported by the specification in Fig. 1(2) and paragraph 21, wherein it would appear that the applicant is suggesting that the "examination range" corresponds to the "shaded pixels" of Fig. 1(2). The claims disclose setting an examination range for a surface of a workpeice, detecting passing light of THE SAME workpeice, according to the claims limitations, and then performing a pattern matching to determine if the imaged passing light matches the examination range. The specification may perform pattern matching between an image of a reference workpeice and an image of an examined workpeice, as argued by the Applicant in the Remarks filed on 1/9/08, page 8, but the specification does not disclose how this pattern matching is the same thing as determining that the imaged passing light of the workpeice matches the examination range set for that same workpeice as indicated in the limitations of, for example, claim 1. Therefore the Applicant's arguments are unpersuasive and the 35 USC 112(1st paragraph) rejections of claims 1-5, 7-10 and 12-14 are maintained.